L. R.

(1891-92)

184-91
1 to 12

Subj- Appl of Bylappa of Kolar,
dispensing bills his service.
of Kolar- and clearing
arrears of pay —

P. 265-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92

192 .

(L. R)

Later references.

File No. 184-91

Serial Nos.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-12

In ~~Part~~ B for August 1893

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Bylappa of Kolar, for dispensing with his services as patel of Kolar and Claiming arrears of pay —.

To, The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

No. 2838-9 dt. 10/12. S. 1893.

P. P. 265-92

Directing him to pay the petitioner his pay at Rs 7 per mensem sanctioned in Govt. Proceedings no. 14654-6/555 L. R. 57. dt. 31st March 1891 and for the period prior to that at Rs 3/- P. M.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—11-6-20

Mysore

Appellant.
Bylappa
of Kolar.

Venkata gauda Hakdar
Patel of Kolar Kasba
& his other villages died
in 1886. Gurappa the
the father of the deceased
requested that his brother
Siddappa's son Siddegauda
then a minor of 15 years
might be appointed to
the place of Venkate-
gauda. This appears to
have been done, and
the work allowed to be
done by Siddegauda
with the assistance of
his father a Shanbogue
in the village Commis office.
It was found subsequently
that the work of Kasba
Kolar was too much for
one person, and the
Court directed that the
Hakdar should be
to appoint a Gumasta
for part of the Kolar
Kasba & that if the
Hakdar failed to do so,
the name of

Managed

the appellant by Capt. Ra recommended by the Vice President might be sent up for being appointed as Secretary of the Harbour and no doubt the Harbour Board will not appear to have been asked about the appointment of the appellant as Secretary. However in January 1890, the appellant was appointed by the Municipal Council of Kolar temporarily to look after the work of the Kolar Municipality. The present Municipal Council did not sanction the appointment of the appellant who was reported to be an insolvent. Thereupon the appellant was removed in May 91 from his temporary appointment.

The appellant complains against this order of removal

The appellant contends.

(1) That he is not an insolvent.

(2) That the Harbour Board out of 'Zeal' reported falsely against him & the Municipal Council.

(3) That he was removed unjustly.

He claims not only the office back but also a sum of Rs 133 " 14 " 6 on account of arrears of pay.

Sivaraja represented that he & his son who has since attained majority worked hard after the work. He was then required to give up the Khalasi's post & he did so. On the removal of the Executive, a stranger & who was also reported to be an insolvent the Collector his father entered upon the duties. The Deputy Commr reports that they have done the work satisfactorily & will be confirmed in due course.

42
26/5/92

Submitted further -

In this office memo no 289 dated 31st August 1892 the Deputy Com was ordered to report whether Peter had his remuneration paid for the period he did the Patel duties

The D/Com reports that orders have been issued for the immediate payment of Rs: 3-11-0 to the Peter. (Docket 9 12th Dec 92, No 1635/125-). 26/12/92

Secretariat.

An allowance of Rs. per annum from the Provincial funds was sanctioned for the first time, on the recommendation of the Staff, in Secretary's No 1654-6 dated 31 March 89, (copy of which is forwarded) He was communicated to the Resident on 2 April 89, from which his allowance due to Sh has been calculated from 2 April 89 upto 6 May 1891 on which date his services were dispensed with.

Petitioner claims Rs 87-8 per mensem from the date of his dismissal viz 5 January 1891.

24/3/92

Lt Col cannot say whether you should have nothing for the time you have served. He must get something from the Patiala Govt. whose behalf he was appointed.

Jones called upon to depute report fully on this claim, & also state what the Patiala Govt. is doing in the matter.

9/6/93

In para 4 of Comm letter 57 dated 9/ it is stated that the Board found the allowance at Rs 7 & 5 per diem from date Natl Army was first fixed the allowance as payable?

Submitted.

The Deputy Commissioner states that payment of the allowances was sanctioned by the Govt of India from date mentioned by Secretary of State in case of the Sikh Sirdars from that date to from the 2nd April 89. (vide Secretary No 350 dated 12 May 893)

5/7/93.

Submitted.

The Resident states that he received the order on the 2nd April & Sirdar Sher Singh & the Sirdar Ranjit Singh from that date.

Yes.

Yes is all that can be done. The Govt has claimed & agreed

A 2 104-7-1

(General Serial No. 32.)

1891/92

No. 1541

Name of Petitioner. [illegible]

Village. Kacha. Kolar

Taluk. Kolar

District. Do

Date of Arzi. 1.10.91

Date of Receipt. 1.10.91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two.

This appeal is out of time.
Beyond the prescribed time.
This application for the stay
of the order appealed against
appears to have been made
after the application for leave
from the date of the order
Even if the order is produced.
Patta case covered under
Sec. 3 of Rule 1 of 3rd Rules.
Limitation is therefore 3 months.
Date 7/91

A1

Put up to Court for consideration
Petitioner to be informed
letter to be issued

A1
16/12/91

Dismissed accordingly
23/12/91

Abstract.

[illegible]

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. N.. 1541
DATE.. 1-10-91

Petition

Two subjects of Tarikere affected -

Repd

Received
26-8-91
Deputy Commissioner

(Signed) C. Mukherjee
Deputy Commissioner

No. 204 K.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1571 dated 1st October 91 received at the Dewan's Office from Dharani Setti Byrappa, late Patel of Kasaba Kolar

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy Commr. Kolar District, for submission of Proceedings with a precis thereof.

2. The Dy. Commissioner is requested to report whether petitioner is now possessed of any property or lands paying assessment to Govt.

By Order,

Under Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 23rd January 1892.

29/Draft

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
2057
19 MAY 92
P. 15

1892.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated Camp Chintamani 17 May 92

Despatched 18 May 92

Received

Enclosures No. 1 Petition

General No. 2579 1892-93

No. 157

His official memorandum No. 214 dated the 23rd January 1892 forwarding for submission of proceedings with a Press Report, a Petition from Sharam Setti Dyapa of Kolar.

In submitting herewith as per accompanying Register the Proceedings of the case with a Press Report in which is enclosed a list of the property moved by the Petitioner, I have the honor to state that the investigation appointed by the [redacted] of the Kolar Municipality during

17-5-92

Pet. Deputy Comm.
17/5/92

Submitted to the Council. With minute

22/5/92

26

Herewith returned with the proceedings

12

the employment of the Indians who have made
peaceful use of Government Land
to locate upon the Reservation. The
necessary proprietary and other certificates to
accord.

E. Newton
Deputy Commissioner
Roll Indian Affairs

N.N.

Specie of proceedings annexed to
appeal petition 132.54 dated 8th
October 1891 addressed to the Court
of Queens Bench Province of Manitoba
of Kolaz.

The Certificate of the
Registrar Clerk of Kolaz marked
and of the Annexed hereto attached
Records. Dated 11 January 1890
Leaving no Surrender.

At the same time the plaintiff
by his Solicitor Edwin Counsel to
the Defendant to the Petition gave
a Notice before the Judge of
Kolazonate 24th January 1890
praying that the Office might
be transferred to the Eastern Sou
Judicial District there a
boy of 15 years of age, the Plaintiff
being appointed to officiate as
Guardian during the pendency
of the present Petition.

Plaintiff being 60 years
old and unfit to discharge the
duties, the same not appointed a
Guardian.

The Court after hearing

was at the time a Deputy of the Deputy Commissioner's office and as such could not attend to the work.

Mr. Abdul Rahman the then Deputy Commissioner enquired that the Petitioner was the same boy for a single male and directed that the Petitioner should be called upon to furnish a guarantor for the work, which the Petitioner to do so the Petitioner (Bayazid) recommended by the Mr. Thomas and Mr. Baker, Vice President of the Municipality might be appointed as guarantor as per that till further orders.

The Petitioner seems to have been temporarily put up against the works of the Municipality of the District connected with the Municipality Town, but the appointment was not formally sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner.

The Petitioner's father having represented that the said boy was his eldest son and he should work after the work attached to the office he was told to give up the Deputy's post and the Petitioner so

It was also brought to notice that the petitioner was married and not a proper person to be entrusted with the responsible duties of a Patel. Further there is no necessity to continue a stranger as a Gumasta when the brother and the father have offered to attend to the duties of the office conjointly.

The services of the Gumasta are accordingly dispensed with by an order of this office No. 32/34 dated 3rd May 1934 and the aforesaid Siddappa and duties are appointed to the office on probation for a year. The enquiry will be charged with duties satisfactorily and will be confirmed in due course.

The Petitioner is enjoined to possess the undermentioned property. (1) Wet land No. 470 measuring 3 8 guntas assessed at Rupees 6-4-9 including Local cess, situated in Kolar Amanikere Taluk.

(The Patta for the land is held by one Abdul Nabi and the petitioner is said to be the mortgagee of the land,

(2) Inam land, Gazetteer No. 38 Survey No. 228 metas assessed at Rupees 3-8 as subject to the payment of Quit rent and cess amounting to annas 1. And situated under the said Tank.

(The registered holder of the land is requested to give notice to the Returner if said Returner is desirous to purchase the same.)

(3) The Returner states that he owns 1/3 rd share of a house situated in the Patta for which is held by his brother Kari Basayya.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolaba

R.D.

15.

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3005
10 JUN. 92

1892.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 6 } Camp Chintamani
Despatched 9 } June 1892
Received 7 }

Enclosures No. 5

General No. 1500
No. 217 1892-93.

This office docket 13 of 7th
dated the 3rd instant, submitting
a report on the petition of Mani
Setti Byrappa of Kolar.

Has the honour to forward
herewith the accompanying petition and
marzi duly received from
the Amildar of the Kolar Taluk
and to request that the same
may kindly be caused to be
attached to the records submitted
with the letter quoted above.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Peter Brancker
10/6/92

Kolar Taluk Amildar's report No 1285 dated 8th May 1892 regarding petition of Byrappi and dated 22-2-92 addressed to the Commissioner and the Amildar's endorsement thereon to the Sheristadar of Kolar Taluk and the Amildar's endorsement dated 10-6-92 forwarding (2) Deshpandi's letter, together with the Amildar's report thereon to the Commissioner of Kolar Amildar's report dated 2nd 40 dated 7th May 1892 to the Amildar of Kolar Amildar's letter dated 2nd May 1892 together with the petitioner's receipt for the Kolar Hobli Taxes

Viz. (1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

letter.

H. Munshi
Navneeppa.
to submit this
with the Shi record
sent on 26.5.92

M 15/6/92
11-6-92

[illegible]

7583

[redacted]

21

22

23.

Pet
257

Office of the Comm^r of Coorg

Bangalore, 22^d Aug^t 92.
31—8—92

Official Memorandum

With reference to the Docket from the Dy Comm^r of Coorg, dated 17^th June 92, No. 672/57, and the papers herewith, received herewith a Petition of Venkuswamy are herewith returned for reference, the Dy Comm^r is requested to report whether the Pet^r had his remuneration paid for the period he did his duties as Patel, and if not why.

2. The papers herewith sent should be returned.

By Order,

Re-draft
(Initialled)

Chief Secretary.

To

The Dy Comm^r, Mercara Distt.
with one file of P. papers

To the Commissioner
No. 718-92
From the same 18-35-8 12-12-92
94-5 7-12-92

(General Serial No. 30.)

6321
15 DEC. 92
Pet 294

1892.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 12th
Despatched 14 } December 1892
Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 1835
No. 425 1892-93.

His Official Memorandum No. Rct / No. 289 dated the 22nd / 31st August 1892 enquiring whether the permanent inam due to Petitioner S. Papiah of Kolar for the period he did the duties as Peon was paid to him and if not, why.

With reference to the above, I have the honour to submit herewith the records bearing on the Kolar Amildar's letter No. 398 dated the 26th October on the subject to state that the Amildar reports that the permanent inam due to the

15/12/92

28

Petitioner for the grant of 15 days leave on Private affairs from the 2nd April to 6th May 89 at Rupees 7 per mensem amounts to Rupees 5-4-0 and that the same is not paid owing to the matter of this memorial from the office having been under correspondence.

2. Orders have since been issued for the immediate payment of the allowance to the Petitioner.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Seen

26

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

9

• Lr 21-

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr
Kolar District

Dated 29 March

Despatched 31-

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1616
Pol. J. No. 265 92

His Letter No. 1835/425 dated 2nd December last stating that the remuneration due to Petitioner Bairiappa from the 21 April to 6 May 1891 has been ordered to be paid to him

---

if the difference to the above has the Peon to enquire from what date ~~the~~ the Board first ~~paid~~ allowances to the said Bairiappa of Rs 2 ~~per payable~~

By order

27/3 30/3
Chief Secretary

Petn

~~Ltr~~ Memo

10/5

27

(General Serial No. 30.)

13399-

1893.

Pet 547

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

(10)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 12th

Despatched 15th May 1893.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3502 / *No.* 850 1892-93.

His Order No. 16 dated 27-7265

the 27th March 893 enquiring from what date the Municipal Board resolved to pay the allowances Rupees 5 to the Retired Shanbogue of Kolar.

In reply, I have the honor to state that the payment of the allowance was sanctioned in Government Proceedings No. 4886 5552451 dated 31st March 89, which was the case of the Patel was given effect

M.G.C.P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

to from the 28th April 1891.

2. The Petitioner during these de-
-frayal will be the 7th day 1891
he is entitled to the allowance
for 16 days.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Financial),—dated 31st March 1891.

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPER :—

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, To the Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, No. 3397—799, dated Kolar, 7th March 1891.

With reference to para 2 of Government Proceedings No. 10487-90—1371-L 94, dated the 13th December last, regarding the allowances payable to the Shanbhog and Patel of the Kolar Municipality, I have the honor to state that formerly one Shanbhog and one Patel were appointed for the Kasaba and Town, but as the collection work of the Town was anything but satisfactory, owing to the ignorance of the Patel who is a Kurbar by caste, a literate and intelligent man was appointed in January 1890 by my predecessor at the recommendation of the Vice-President and Members of the Municipal Board to work exclusively in the Town along with the Shanbhog.

2. The Survey Settlement Department fixed the Patels Katcha Potgi at Rs. 19 per annum according to the amount of revenue collected from the lands attached to the Kasaba, but no remuneration was fixed for doing the collection and other works in the Town.

3. No Katcha Potgi has been sanctioned to the Shanbhog who enjoys a nominal inam assessed at Rs. 37—12—0. In course of time he will be granted Pakka Potgi which will be fixed with reference to the revenue derivable only from lands attached to the Kasaba.

4. It will be observed from the above that neither the Patel nor Shanbhog now receives any remuneration or warthana for their services in the Kolar Town and considering their difficult and arduous work, the Board resolved to fix the allowances at Rs. 7 and 5 per month to be paid to the Patel and Shanbhog respectively out of the Municipal Funds so that these officials may be paid servants removable from office in case of omission on their part to give satisfaction. Such an arrangement I am sure will facilitate collection of Municipal taxes, which is anything but satisfactory at present.

5. A similar charge has been sanctioned for the Chikmagalur Municipality, *vide* para 4 of the Dewan's Proceedings No. 3087-98—Cir. 89, dated 1st August 1888.

6. I beg therefore that the outlay may be kindly sanctioned as already recommended by the Municipal Board as it is a just charge on the Municipality.

No. 14654-6—555—L. 451, DATED 31ST MARCH 1891.

ORDER THEREON.—Under the circumstances stated, the allowances proposed to the Shanbhog and Patel are sanctioned temporarily.

2. The Government are of opinion that when the Pakka Potgi allowances are fixed, the revenue of the village including Mohatarfa should be taken into account.

A. SRINIVASACHARLU,
Under-Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.
The Comptroller.
The Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.

Exd.—B. G. R.

1891.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

FINANCIAL.

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 31*st March* 1891.

No. 14654-6
555—L. 451.

Sanctioning the temporary allowances proposed to the Shanbhog and Patel of the Kolar Municipality, and stating that, when the Potgi allowances are fixed, the revenue of the village including Mohátarfa should be taken into account.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—10-4-91.—80.

30

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 a

Rec. June 3/7

From Dewan

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner Kolar Dist.

Dated 3 July 93.

Despatched 8 July 93

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 200

Pol. No. 265-92

Correspondence ending with the D.C. Kolar's letter No 3502/850 dated 12th May 93, in the matter of the claim of Ramiah Subbaiya for remuneration

With reference to the above heading the Dewan desires that the Deputy Commissioner will be good enough to report what remuneration the Petitioner had in view when he took up the temporary appointment of Tahsildar in January 1892 whether any remuneration was promised to him by the Amildar or the Permanent Tahsildar or if so what

(3) if no provision for the amendment was made in the Constitution, in the absence of any express provision contained in the Constitution itself, the Constitution can be met, and (4) that the Parliament is not the supreme authority to finally decide

By Order

24/6/1993

Draft MM 4/7

for Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. 443.

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District Pet 26

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 11th July 93

Despatched 13th

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 138

No. 36

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Reporting on the several points in connection with the claim of Dharmadeva Paccahia for remuneration

Secretary.

Submitted in connection with reference dated 10/7 regarding the question mentioned in para (3) of Board's proceedings if the Board thought it necessary.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Appeal Committee
Petitioner
Water Supply

138
36

13

(12)

To

The Right Honourable the
Secretary of State

Sir,

I am directed by
Gen. Hackett to refer
to your letter of 20th ult.
for further information in the
matter of the claim of Narain
Dass Kalapha for remuneration
for the loss of his cart
as stated in the Local
Govt's letter cited

(1) Examination and cross-
examination of the Petitioner
had in the enquiry he took at
the Lucknow Assistants at
Fatehgarh & their Town in January
1909 which go to show that the con-
trary, the position does
perhaps exactly as he
he evidently desired at the time
the requisition was made
Town.

(2) The Petitioner was not
examined any remuneration
by the Committee nor could he
have

BC

Jane Ann Fennell wife of Fennell Patel who was appointed petitioner's appointment

(3) The petitioner may at the hearing of the petition or at any subsequent time by order of the Court direct that the costs of and incidental to the petition and of the proceedings thereon be paid out of the estate of the deceased or out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund or out of the funds of the Municipality if any mentioned in the order and the Court may direct in what manner and from what time such costs shall be paid with interest thereon not exceeding five per centum per annum.

Sanctioned by Order dated 20/7/93

(4) The Chairman has been appointed by the Court of Probate to be the Administrator of the estate of the deceased [illegible]

Jane Ann Fennell

her

Jane Ann Fennell

Witness

Witness

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

36 — 1?

Read:—

(1) Petition No 1541 dated 1st October 1891, from Shanmiatti Pajaliya of Kadiba Kolar, appealing against the order of his dismissal from the office of Patel Gumasta of Kolar, and claiming Rs 133-14-6 on account of his pay from 5th January 1890 when he was appointed to the post.

No 1541-91-11

(2) Correspondence ending with the Kolar Deputy Commissioner's letter No $\frac{133}{36}$ dated 13th July 1893.

Order thereon No $\frac{2838-5}{\text{Ex. } 265 \text{ of } 92}$ dt $\frac{4}{\frac{10}{12}}$ 15/X/93.

✓

There was but one Patel for Kadiba Kolar and 2 other villages. As the work was more than one could do satisfactorily, the Amildar Patel was directed to appoint a Gumasta for a part of the Kolar Kadiba, and in the meantime the Petitioner Pajaliya was, as a temporary measure, appointed by the Amildar on 5th ~~July~~ January 1890 to look after the work of the Kolar Gumasta.

-apality

(13)

Municipality. The Provincial Commissioner did not sanction this arrangement and the Collector, and this Petitioner who had since attained majority, have been discharging the duties of the office.

2. The Petitioner claims pay from the 1st January 1890 upto 5th May 1891, on which date his services were dispensed with, at the rate sanctioned in Govt Order No 14654-6/555 & 457 of 31st March 1891 for the Taluk of the Division, viz Rs 40 per mensem. He is entitled to draw pay at that rate from the date on which effect was given to the above order, viz 21st April 1891 for the period prior to that date, during which Petitioner discharged the duties of the office, without any remuneration, in the hope that he would be made the permanent Patel of the Town, he will be paid

& the Petitioner's services were dispensed with on the 5th May 1891

2. Nor was no pay or leave allowed to the office & no definite promise was made to the Petitioner that any pay would be given him for his services, but the Govt having regarded in their order

Sanctioned a pay of Rs 40 pr the office from Municipal Fund

Petitioner claims the same from the date of his appointment, i.e from 5th Jany 1891 to 5th May 1891 on which date his services were dispensed with.

Under all the circumstances of the case, the Govt are pleased to sanction Rs 3 per mensem from the date of the appointment to 21st April 1891 from which

38

an allowance of £ per
annum, as recommended
by the Defendants Commissioners,
from the funds of the
Municipality. The Defendants
Commissioners reports that
at the time the Petitioner
was appointed temporarily,
no remuneration was
promised to him either
by the Municipality or by the
Harbour Board.

effect was given to
the above mentioned
order of Court, to be
paid from the usual
Funds as recommended
by the Dep Com^r from
21st April 1891 to 7th
May 1891, she may
be allowed at 7 Rs
per mensem.

A.L
31st/7/91

Chief Engineer

J. The Dep Com^r Civil Dist: will remember
Collector

For monthly Proceedings Part.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore

Read:-

(1) Petition No 1541 dated 1st October 1891, from Bharani Setti Byrappa of Kasba Kolar appealing against the order of his dismissal from the Office of Patel Gumasta of Kolar, and claiming Rs 133-14-6 on account of his pay from 5th January 1890 when he was suspended to the post.

(2) Correspondence ending with the Kolar Deputy Commissioner's letter No 132/36 dated 13th July 1893.

Order thereon No 2353-7 P.P. 265 of 1892

Dated Bangalore 10th August 1893.

There was but one Patel for Kasba Kolar and 2 other villages. As the work was more than one could do satisfactorily, the backdar

Patil was directed to appoint a Gumasta for a part of the Kolar Kacha, and in the meantime the Petitioner Byliappa was, as a temporary measure, appointed by the Amildar on 5th January 1870 to look after the work of the Kolar Municipality. The Deputy Commissioner did not sanction this arrangement and the Petitioner's services were dispensed with on the 7th May 1871, and the Amildar, and his Son who had since attained majority, have been since discharging the duties of the Office.

3. There was no pay or Inam attached to the Office and no definite promise was made to the Petitioner that any pay would be

be given him for his services, but the Government having in their order No. 14654-6/555 & 457 dated 31st March 1891 sanctioned a pay of Rs 7 for the office from Municipal funds, Petitioner claims the same from the date of his appointment, i.e. the 5th January 1891 to 7th June 1891 on which date his services were dispensed with.

3. Under all the circumstances of the case, the Government are pleased to sanction Rs 3 per mensem from the date of Petitioner's appointment to 21st April 1891 when effect was given to the above mentioned order of Government, to be paid from the Municipal

Funds are recommended by the Deputy Commissioner from 21st April 1891 to 7th May 1891, he may be allowed at 7 Rs per mensem.

(Sd) S. Ananda Rau
Chief Secretary

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District, with the
proceedings
& Comptroller

Ord. accepted

39

Result issued 12/8/93

No. 1741.

Lr. 184-91-92

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

10/12/1891 1893.

(14)

Endorsement granted to Byrappa of Kolar.

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1741 dated 1 Oct. 1891 appealing against the Dr. former order dispensing with his services as Patel of Kolar, and claiming arrears of pay

Petitioner is ~~informed that~~ referred to the Deputy Commissioner who has been ~~authorized~~ to give him the pay at the rate sanctioned in Govt. No. No. 14654-6 dated 31st March 1891 from the 21st April 1891, ~~he is informed that nothing more can be done for him~~, and for the period prior to that at Rupees 3 per mensem.

555 L451

31/3/93

4/8

"By Order"

Draft

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore,—9-2-93—2000.